UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,141	02/12/2007	Shinichi Wada	L5085.07106	5651	
	7590 03/17/200 VRIGHT PLLC	8	EXAMINER		
1901 L STREE		CHEN, TIANJIE			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,141	WADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tianjie Chen	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> lely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
\- \- \- \- \- \- \- \- \- \- \- \- \	,					

# Non-Final Rejection

## Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - In Specification, p. 8, lines 1 and 12; "400" should be changed to --200--.
  - In Specification, p. 8, line2; "said" should be changed to --side--.

    Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Drawings**

3. Figures 6 and 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota (JP 2002-352498A) and Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Kenwood JP 4-58834 U).

Claim 1, Ota shows a disk apparatus in Figs. 1-3, which is similar with Applicant's Figs. 1-3, including a chassis outer sheath having a base body and a lid, in which a front surface of the chassis outer sheath is formed with a disk inserting opening into which a disk is directly inserted, the base body is provided with a traverse, a spindle motor having a rotation stage on which the disk is placed is held by the traverse, one side of the traverse is inclined and moved by vertically moving means. Ota's reference is cited in Applicant's disclosure and Applicant's further points out that such conventional device, as AAPA, the rotation stage is brought close to the lid as shown in Fig. 6, the disk placed on the rotation stage is pushed toward the rotation stage to mount the disk on the rotation stage by the convex portion provided on the side of the lid such that the convex portion projects toward the rotation stage at a position opposed to the rotation stage.

AAPA's Fig. 6 shows that the clamp cannot contact the disk properly, i.e. it cannot hold the disk firmly; i.e. AAPA fails to show that when a tip end of the convex portion is inclined such that the tip end of the convex portion becomes substantially in parallel to a surface of the rotation stage when the traverse approaches the lid.

Kenwood shows a clamp, which can be adjusted to conform the surface of the disk; it can hold the disk evenly. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to replace the clamper in AAPA's device with Kenwood's clamper for better holding the disk.

In such constructed device, when a tip end of the convex portion is inclined such that the tip end of the convex portion becomes substantially in parallel to a surface of the rotation stage when the traverse approaches the lid.

Claim 5, the above constructed device is a disk apparatus including a spindle motor having a rotation stage on which a disk is placed, a hub which is disposed on a center of the rotation stage and which holds the disk, a traverse whose one end holds the spindle motor, vertically moving means which vertically moves the one end of the traverse around the other end of the traverse as a rotation support shaft/and an opening disposed at a location opposed to the rotation stage, wherein a convex portion projecting toward the rotation stage is provided around the opening, a convex portion located on the other end of the traverse is higher than a convex portion located on the one end of the traverse (Fig.1 in Kenwood).

Claim 2, in above constructed device, the convex portion is

A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, see In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3 CCPC, 5/27/76); In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 5/18/72); In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA, 4/26/73); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA, 1/10/74); In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 11/21/85). In this claim the limitation "by drawing" is a process related limitation, which does not earn any weight in determining patentability.

Claim 3, in such constructed device, the tip end of the convex portion on the side of the disk insertion opening is inclined toward the rotation stage.

Claims 4 and 7, Similar to Applicant's drawing 1, Ota shows in Fig. 1 a main slider and a sub-slider are provided as the vertically moving means, the main slider is disposed on the side of the spindle motor in such a direction that one end of the main

slider comes on the side of a front surface of the chassis outer sheath and the other end comes on the side of a rear surface of the chassis outer sheath, the sub-slider is disposed on the side of the rear surface of the spindle motor in a direction perpendicular to the main slider.

Claim 6, in such constructed device, the tip end of the convex portion on the side of the disk insertion opening is inclined toward the rotation stage.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record in PTO-892 Form and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tianjie Chen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627